Mr. SPEAKER.—I have to announce that the Governor has given his recommendation under 207 (1) and (3) of the Constitution of India for the introduction and consideration of the Mysore Appropriation (No.6) Bill, 1966 in the Mysore Legislative Assembly.

The Bill has been introduced.

Shall we have this Bill immediately or after the consideration of the other matters ?

Sri B. D. JATTI—After disposing the otber matters, we can take up this Bill.

THE MYSORE LAND REFORMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1966

Motion to consider—(Contd.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yesterday Sri Revennasiddappa was desirous of participating.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ (ತಿಪಟೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಸೂಚಿಸುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇತ್ತ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಗಮನ ಕೊಡಲು ನನಗೂ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The member is making a bad confession. Is he accepting the amendments ?

Sri K. P. REVANASIDDAPPA.—No.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH (Mudigere).—Sir, what about the Point of Order which I raised during the Question Hour ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will deal with it. Let this work be over.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಇದಕ್ಕೆದ್ದ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ಏನಾದವು ? ಕ್ಲಾಜ್ 2ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳೇನೋ ಬಿದ್ದು ಹೋದವು. ಇನ್ನು ಕ್ಲಾಜ್ 14ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ಏನಾದವು ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I can allow certain amount of latitude. But if Sri S. Gopal Gowda stretches it too far, he may require an assistant to remind him. Let him do it in the lounge or with some other Member and let him not use the House for that purpose.

I will put it to the vote of the House.

Sri A. P. APPANNA (Virajpet).—I request Sri Devaiah to withdraw the amendment in view of the fact that such a facility is not given under Section 7. It will not be fair to give such an amendment under Section 14.

Sri K. M. DEVIAH (Periyapatna).—I withdraw the amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He cannot withdraw like this. He must take the permission of the House.

Sri K. M. DEVIAH.—I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I hope the House has granted leave to withdraw.

The Hon'ble MEMBERS.—Yes.

The amendment was withdrawn by leave of the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್ (ನೂಳ್ಯ).—ನನ್ನ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ಸು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸಭೆಯ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

The amendment was withdrawn by leave of the House.

Sri H. R. KESHAVA MURTHY (Gandasi).—Point of order. It was admitted in the House ; it was partly discussed. How can it be withdrawn at this stage ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—My friend Sri Gopal Gowda will explain it to this member. The House has granted leave to the Members to withdraw.

Then I put Clause (3) to vote.

The question is :

"That Clause 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

Sri SIDDIAH KASHIMATH (Shirahatti).—I have an amendment to Clause 3.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There was one which contained all that the member wanted and the House has granted leave for its withdrawal.

Sri SIDDIAH KASHIMATH.—I press for this amendment. That is all.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When once the House grants leave for withdrawal of an identical amendment, it means it is rejected. It is over.

The question is :

"That clauses 4 and 5 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 4 and 5 were added to the Bill.

Sri SIDDIAH KASHIMATH.—I am not moving amendment to Clause 6.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That Clauses 6 and 7 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 6 and 7 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Land Reforms (Amendment) Bill, 1966, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore Land Reforms (Amendment) Bill, 1966, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will deal with the point of order because it is entitled to priority.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH —The hon. Minister for Home, while seeking your permission to answer the Question of Sri H. R. Keshavamurthy, was pleased to say that sometimes it is reported in the Press in some papers that fair reporting to the proceedings of this House is not there.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He did not say so. What he said was that certain supplementaries which are not allowed,are reported, and there is no answer because they have been disallowed. If they are disallowed, they should not have been reported, or at least the report should have stated that they were disallowed. Otherwise it creates an impression that the Government was incapable of answering them. Such a thing is not proper. That is what he said. Is that so ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Home).—Yes, Sir.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—Sir, it may kindly be verified from the proceedings. From the Press reports, my impression is that what has transpired in this House has not been fairly reported. The Hon'ble Home Minister said in Kannada : 'ಕೆಲವು ಸಾರಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಅಸಮರ್ಥವೋ ಏನೋ ಎನ್ನುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಇರುವುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಆಗಾಗ್ಗೆ ಓದುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ ನೋಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇಂತಹ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಎಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ' ಎಂದು. That is how he put it. My inference is that fair reporting of the proceedings of the House is not done by a certain section of the Press. If any Paper has not reported the fair proceedings of this House, it would be a breach of privilege of this House. Then, the House will have to deal with that particular Paper. Let not the public think that

Press is not publishing fair reports of the proceedings of the House. I would like to know whether Hon'ble Minister could name the particular paper or Papers who have reported that way.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am unable to find any Point of Order in it. It looks as if we have resumed the Question Hour and arguing on something which was observed by the Hon'ble Minister while answering the question. The member must say that there is breach of any rule. If it is a breach of rule, true the matter should be set right. If he agrees that something has been wrongly reported, it is a breach of privilege and not a Point of Order. Neither way does it come under the Point of Order. Are we holding an enquiry whether the Minister is right or wrong ? When he raises a Point of Order, let him kindly refer to the Rule to find out whether there is any breach of privilege.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—Under Article 109 of the Constitution, this House expects a reporting of the proceedings of this august House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That question will arise only after it has been established that fair reporting has not been done. If fair reporting has not been done, it amounts to infringement, which is different from a Point of Order. If there is infringement, an enquiry is to be held. If the member wants to go by a Plane, let him not purchase a Railway ticket. He may please think over the matter.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 6) BILL, 1966

Motion to consider

Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Food).—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 6) Bill, 1966, be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 6) Bill, 1966, be taken into consideration."

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, since all the Demands have been discussed threadbare, it is not necessary to offer any remarks. All the Demands have been passed, and so, it may be accepted.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶೀಮಠ (ಶಿರಹಟ್ಟಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ವ್ಯವಸಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ತಾವು ಈ ಇರಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಇದರಿಂದ ತಕ್ಕಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರತಿಫಲ ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಇವರ ಮೂಲಕ ಪೆನ್ಯೂರ್ ಹಾಗೂ ಫರ್ಟಿಲೈಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಚಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹಾಗೂ ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನುಮಾರು 30 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಈಗ ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಮದ್ರಾಸು ಪೋರ್ಟುಗಳಿಂದ ಬರುವ ಫರ್ಟಿಲೈಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಸಾಗಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇವುಗಳ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರು